



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/507,229	09/09/2004	Henrik Andersson	328.836USN	1773
33369	7590	07/19/2006	EXAMINER	
FASTH LAW OFFICES (ROLF FASTH) 26 PINECREST PLAZA, SUITE 2 SOUTHERN PINES, NC 28387-4301				DEBROW, JAMES J
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2176	

DATE MAILED: 07/19/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/507,229	ANDERSSON, HENRIK
	Examiner	Art Unit
	James J. Debrow	2176

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 September 2004.
 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on 09 September 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/06/2004</u> .	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to communication: Application filed 09 Sep. 2004.
2. Claims 1-10 are pending in this case. Claim 1 is an independent claim.

Information Disclosure Statement

3. Applicant filed a document designated as an Information Disclosure Statement on 12/06/2004. The document filed is not in the form of an information disclosure statement, and does not provide sufficient information for the examiner to review and consider the information provided. According, the document is acknowledge as having been received, but has not been considered by the Examiner

Specification

4. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

5. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it contains numbers which reference items within the drawings and/or specification. These numbers should be removed. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Objections

6. **Claims 1-10** are objected to because of the following informalities: The claims contains numbers which reference items within the drawings and/or specification. These numbers should be removed. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

8. **Claims 1-3, and 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gueramy et al (Pub. No.: US 2002/0145634 A1; Filed Nov. 1, 2001) (hereinafter ‘Gueramy’) in view of Brown (Pat. No.: 5,960,403; Date of Pat.: Sep. 28, 1999).**

In regard to independent claim 1, Gueramy discloses a *method for navigating in a computer device containing medical information, comprising:*

providing a first medical module (12, 14, 16, 18, 20, 22, 24, 26, 28, 30) and a second medical module (12, 14, 16, 18, 20, 22, 24, 26, 28, 30) of a module menu, the first medical module being different from the second medical module (0022-0023); Gueramy disclose the patent record system is designed to display patient information (first medical module) and related medical information (second medical module));

a bookmark activation device (228) for activating a bookmark modules (290) (0032, lines 1-6; Gueramy discloses a control template which includes global functions communication buttons such as bookmark buttons.).

marking a first information segment in the first medical module as a first bookmark (0032, lines 1-6; 0034; 300 in Fig. 3; Gueramy discloses a “Add bookmark” button which provides a bookmark or reference indication for a particular screen in which the bookmark button was activated.).

marking a second information segment in the second medical module as a second bookmark, the first and second bookmarks being stored in the bookmark module (290) (0032, lines 1-6; 0034; 300 in Fig. 3; Gueramy discloses a bookmark screen which is retrievable via a link activated by the bookmark button on another screen. Gueramy further discloses a “Add bookmark” button which provides a bookmark or reference indication for a particular screen in which the bookmark button was activated.).

activating the bookmark activation device to activate and display the second bookmark module (0032, lines 1-6; 0034; 300 in Fig. 3; Gueramy discloses a bookmark screen which is retrievable via a link activated by the bookmark button on another screen. Gueramy further discloses a “Add bookmark” button which provides a bookmark or reference indication for a particular screen in which the bookmark button was activated.).

Gueramy does not expressly disclose a *method for navigating in a computer device containing medical information, comprising: providing a display (200) displaying the module menu (204) and selecting the first medical module from the module menu and selecting the second medical module.*

However, Brown teaches a *method for navigating in a computer device containing medical information, comprising: providing a display (200) displaying the module menu (204) and selecting the first medical module from the module menu and selecting the second medical module* (col. 10, lines 47-61; col. 11, lines 20-50; Brown teaches various techniques are known to those skilled in the art for displaying and selecting menu items. Brown further teaches when the desired main menu is displayed, operation by the user of control pad allows a cursor or other indicator that is displayed on the menu to be positioned adjacent to or over the menu item to be selected.).

Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to combine Gueramy with Brown for the benefit providing healthcare monitoring and patent training based on a personal computer which is networked with the clinician's resources and requisite databases (col. 3, lines 22-26).

In regard to dependent claim 2, Gueramy discloses the *method according to claim 1 wherein the method further comprises activating a module menu tab 292 in the bookmark module (290) and selecting the first medical module to trigger the bookmark module to display the first bookmark* (0032, lines 1-6; 0034; 300 in Fig. 3; Gueramy discloses a bookmark screen which is retrievable via a link activated by the bookmark button on another screen. Gueramy further discloses a "Add bookmark" button which provides a bookmark or reference indication for a particular screen in which the

bookmark button was activated. Bookmark screens replace the view of the current screen.).

In regard to dependent claim 3, Gueramy discloses *the method according to claim 1 wherein the method further comprises, selecting the second medical module so that the bookmark module displays the second bookmark* (0032, lines 1-6; 0034; 300 in Fig. 3; Gueramy discloses a bookmark screen which is retrievable via a link activated by the bookmark button on another screen. Gueramy further discloses a “Add bookmark” button which provides a bookmark or reference indication for a particular screen in which the bookmark button was activated. Bookmark screens replace the view of the current screen.).

In regard to dependent claim 5, Gueramy discloses *the method according to claim 1 wherein the method further comprises associating the second medical module to the first bookmark while the first bookmark is associated with the first medical module* ((0022-0024; Gueramy disclose the patent record system is designed to display patient information (*first medical module*) and related medical information (*second medical module*)). Any of the screens within the modules may include interactive attributes and provide access to individual screens; 0043, lines 19-21; Gueramy discloses a bookmark screen which is retrievable via a link activated by the bookmark button on another screen. Gueramy further discloses a “Add bookmark” button which provides a bookmark or reference indication for a particular screen in

which the bookmark button was activated. Bookmark screens replace the view of the current screen.).

In regard to dependent claim 6, Gueramy discloses *the method according to claim 1 wherein the method further comprises clearing a memory cache (298) containing all bookmarks (0034; 300 in Fig. 3; Gueramy discloses a “delete bookmark” button which removes added bookmarks.).*

In regard to dependent claim 7, Gueramy discloses *the method according to claim 1 wherein the method further comprises activating a back arrow (222) (0031; Gueramy discloses the control template includes buttons such as back, forward and select, which retrieve other screens beside the one currently displayed.).*

In regard to dependent claim 8, Gueramy discloses *the method according to claim 1 wherein the method further comprises activating a forward arrow (220) (0031; Gueramy discloses the control template includes buttons such as back, forward and select, which retrieve other screens beside the one currently displayed.).*

9. **Claims 4, 9, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gueramy et al (Pub. No.: US 2002/0145634 A1; Filed Nov. 1, 2001) (hereinafter ‘Gueramy’) in view of Brown (Pat. No.: 5,960,403; Date of Pat.: Sep. 28, 1999) further in view of DeRose et al. (Pat. No.: 5,644,776; Date of Pat.: Jul. 1, 1997) (hereinafter ‘DeRose’).**

In regard to dependent claim 4, Gueramy in view of Brown does not expressly discloses *the method according to claim 1 wherein the method further comprises activating a flash memory (224) to display a latest search command.*

However, DeRose teaches *activating a flash memory (224) to display a latest search command* (col. 23, lines 60-63; col. 24, lines 23-46; Fig. 22; DeRose teaches a history log. Using the broadest interpretation of DeRose teaching, the Examiner concludes that DeRose could be used to display latest search command.).

Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to combine Gueramy in view of Brown with DeRose for the benefit of generating a representation of an electronic document, which enables immediate display and formatting of the document for multiple views (col. 3., lines 6-10).

In regard to dependent claim 9, Gueramy in view of Brown does not expressly discloses *the method according to claim 1 wherein the method further comprises using a word processing header H1, . . . H7 to create hierarchical conversion of documents.*

However, DeRose teaches *the method according to claim 1 wherein the method further comprises using a word processing header H1, . . . H7 to create hierarchical*

conversion of documents (col. 5, line 65- col. 6, lines 1-6; col. 7, line 60- col. 8, lines 1-3; Fig. 3; DeRose teaches documents can be represented in hierarchical form.).

Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to combine Gueramy in view of Brown with DeRose for the benefit of generating a representation of an electronic document, which enables immediate display and formatting of the document for multiple views (col. 3., lines 6-10).

In regard to dependent claim 10, Gueramy discloses *the method according to claim 1 wherein the method further comprises using a link to move within a module and move to another module* (0032, lines 1-6; 0043, lines 19-21; Gueramy discloses a control template which includes global functions communication buttons such as bookmark buttons. A bookmark screen is retrievable via a link activated by the bookmark button on another screen.).

Gueramy in view of Brown does not expressly discloses *using an expanding link to expand a text portion between existing links.*

However, DeRose teaches *using an expanding link to expand a text portion between existing links* (col. 6, lines 18-24; col. 8, lines 21-28; DeRose teaches cross references elements which may be used to link relevant sections of a document or separate documents.).

Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to combine Gueramy in view of Brown with DeRose for the benefit of generating a representation of an electronic document, which enables immediate display and formatting of the document for multiple views (col. 3., lines 6-10).

Art Unit: 2176

10.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James J. Debrow whose telephone number is 571-272-5768. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Herndon can be reached on 571-272-4136. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JAMES DEBROW
EXAMINER
ART UNIT 2176



DOUG HUTTON
PRIMARY EXAMINER
TECH CENTER 2100